JOM 23348

Synthesis and fluxionality of the mixed metal syn-(μ -cycloheptatriene) complex [CpCo(C₇H₈)RhCp]

Hubert Wadepohl, Wolfgang Galm and Andreas Wolf

Anorganisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, W-6900 Heidelberg (Germany) (Received November 2, 1992)

Abstract

The mixed metal μ -cycloheptatriene complex [CpCo{ μ -(η^3 ; σ^1 , η^2 -C₇H₈)]RhCp] (2b) has been made from [CpRh(η^4 -C₇H₈)] (4b) and [CpCo(C₂H₄)₂] (5a). In solution 2b has a dynamic structure with a syn- μ -[η^3 (Co): σ^1 , η^2 (Rh)] coordinated cycloheptatriene bridge.

In dinuclear transition metal complexes cycloheptatriene can adopt a variety of coordination modes [1]. The symmetrical syn- μ -(1-3- η : 4-6- η) bis-envl type coordination was established for $[((CO)_3Fe)_2(\mu-C_7H_8)]$ (1a) [2] in the solid state by a X-ray crystal structure analysis [3]. From the temperature independent ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra it was shown that the rigid syn- μ -(η^3 : η^3) coordination is retained in solution [3,4]. The same structure was assumed for the ruthenium analog [{(CO)₃Ru}₂(μ -C₇H₈)] (1b) [5]. In contrast, the cycloheptatriene bridge in $[(CpRh)_2(\mu - C_7H_8)]$ (2c) is highly flexible in solution [6]. By variable temperature NMR spectroscopy the dynamic process was identified as involving the interconversion of the two enantiomers with a syn- μ -(η^3 : σ^1 , η^2)-coordinated cycloheptatriene. Recently we reported the syntheses and structures of the dicobalt complexes $[(C_5R_5C_0)_2(\mu-C_7H_8)]$ (2a, R = H; 3, R = Me) [7]. The pentamethylcyclopentadienyl derivative 3 has the very unusual anti- μ -(η^4 : η^4) coordination geometry for the cycloheptatriene, both in solution and in the solid state. The NMR spectra of 2a are consistent with a fluxional syn- μ - $(\eta^3:\sigma^1,\eta^2)$ cycloheptatriene bridge, as in 2c. A second, higher energy dynamic process involves interconversion of the two CpCo groups in 2a. This can be attributed to an almost free rotation of the cycloheptatriene on top of the $(CpCo)_2$ backbone.

We were interested in preparing unsymmetrical derivatives $[(ML_n)(M'L'_n)(\mu-C_7H_8)]$ ($M \neq M'$ or $L_n \neq L'_{n'}$). Unfortunately no dinuclear complexes with both a CpCo and a Cp*Co group in the molecule could be obtained [7]. In order to synthesize the mixed metal CoRh complexes the mononuclear cycloheptatriene complex [CpRh(η^4 -C₇H_8)] (4b) was treated with sources of CpCo and Cp*Co fragments. From the reaction with the Jonas reagent [CpCo(C₂H₄)₂] (5a) the heterobimetallic complex [CpCo{ μ -($\eta^3 : \sigma^1, \eta^2$ -C₇H₈)}RhCp] (2b) was obtained in *ca*. 30% yield. With [Cp*Co(C₂H₄)₂] (5b) the main reaction pathway involved exchange of the cycloheptatriene ligand, leading mainly to [Cp*Co(η^4 -C₇H₈)], with concurrent decomposition of the rhodium complex.

At room temperature, only three signals are observed for the olefinic CH groups of the cyclohepta-



Correspondence to: Dr. H. Wadepohl.



triene in both the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **2b**. indicating the presence of an effective plane of symmetry in the cycloheptatriene ligand. As in 2a and 2c, the ¹³C resonances of the cycloheptatriene carbon atoms C-1 and C-6 (*i.e.* the carbon atoms in the α -positions to the methylene group) are at rather high field (δ 1.2, compared with δ 2.6 in 2a [7] and δ 2.0 in 2c [6]) and show a large (11 Hz) coupling to the ¹⁰³Rh nucleus. This can be taken as an indication of a dynamic syn- μ - $[\eta^{3}(Co): \sigma^{1}, \eta^{2}(Rh)]$ coordination of the bridging ligand. The dynamic process involves interchanges of the carbon atoms C-1 with C-6, C-2 with C-5 and C-3 with C-4, along with the hydrogen atoms bonded to them (Scheme 1). The average ¹⁰³Rh-¹³C coupling constant indicates that the cycloheptatriene is σ^{1} , n^{2} -bonded to the CpRh rather than to the CpCo group, as expected from the greater strength of the C-Rh σ -bond.



The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2b** is virtually independ-

Scheme 1.

ent of temperature in the range 200 K $\leq T \leq$ 390 K. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum at 200 K the resonances at δ 60.1 and 55.5 are slightly, the signal at δ 1.5 is substantially broadened, indicating that the enantiomerisation is beginning to slow down on the NMR time scale. Assuming similar chemical shift differences between the individual carbon atoms of the cycloheptatriene ligands a comparison can be made with 2a (no broadening of the four ¹³C NMR signals of the cycloheptatriene ring) and 2c (a close-to-limiting ¹³C NMR spectrum with seven resonances for the cycloheptatriene at 200 K). Hence it can be assumed that the activation barrier for the low energy dynamic process (i.e. the enantiomerisation of the syn- μ -(η^3 : σ^1 , η^2) structure) increases in the series $2a \rightarrow 2b \rightarrow 2c$. The high energy process (which can be attributed to the full 'rotation' of the cycloheptatriene) is thermally accessible only in the case of the dicobalt complex 2a.

1. Experimental details

All reactions were carried out under prepurified nitrogen by use of Schlenk techniques. Solvents were



dried by conventional methods and stored under nitrogen. Complexes $[CpCo(C_2H_4)_2]$ (5a) [8] and $[Cp^*Co-(C_2H_4)_2]$ (5b) [9] were prepared by published methods. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-200 instrument (200.1 for ¹H, 50.3 MHz for ¹³C). Electron impact mass spectra were obtained using a Finnigan 8230 instrument operating at 70 eV. Micoanalyses were performed by the Microanalytisches Labor Beller, Göttingen.

1.1. Improved synthesis of $[CpRh(\eta^4-C_7H_8)]$ (4b)

The complex $[CpRh(C_2H_4)_2]$ [10] (620 mg, 2.76 mmol) was refluxed with ca. 10 ml of cycloheptatriene for 3.5 h. All volatiles were then removed in vacuo, and the yellowish-orange oily residue was dissolved in light petroleum (ca. 20 ml). Complex 5a crystallized out from this solution as orange microcrystals (420 mg, 58%) at -20° C. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 5.9 (tq, 1H, C_7H_8 ; 5.2 (m, 1H, C_7H_8); 5.10 (d, J(RhH) = 1.0 Hz, 5H, Cp); 4.8 (m, 1H, C_7H_8); 4.6 (m, 1H, C_7H_8); 3.7 $(m, 1H, C_7H_8)$; 3.6 $(m, 1H, C_7H_8)$; 2.0 $(m, 1H, C_7H_8)$; 1.1 (m, 1H, C_7H_8). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C_6D_6): δ 129.0 (d, J(RhC) = 0.04 Hz, CH); 125.7 (d, J(RhC) = 0.04 Hz, CH); 84.7 (d, J(RhC) = 6.0 Hz, CH); 83.6 (d, J(RhC)= 5.0 Hz, Cp); 78.8 (d, J(RhC) = 6.0 Hz), 51.2 (d, J(RhC) = 18.0 Hz, CH); 47.4 (d, J(RhC) = 16.0 Hz, CH); 32.1 (d, J(RhC) = 15.0 Hz, CH₂).

1.2. Synthesis of $[syn-CpCo\{\mu-(\eta^3:\sigma^1,\eta^2-C_7H_8)RhCp]$ (2b)

A mixture of 340 mg of (1.31 mmol) $[CpRh(\eta^4-C_7H_8)]$ (4b) and 236 mg (1.31 mmol) of $[CpCo(C_2H_4)_2]$ (5a) in *ca*. 40 ml of light petroleum was heated at 40–60°C for 1 h. The solvent was then removed *in vacuo* and the residue redissolved in toluene (10 ml). An equal volume of light petroleum was added, and the solution cooled to $-20^{\circ}C$ to give a brown solid precipitate, which was collected and recrystallised from a small volume of toluene to give 140 mg (28%) of 2b as brown microcrystals. Mp. 190°C (dec.). Anal. Found: C, 52.95; H, 4.92. $C_{17}H_{18}CoRh$ (384.168) calc.: C, 53.12; H, 4.68%. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 5.22 (d, J(RhH)

= 0.7 Hz, 5H, Cp); 4.74 (s, 5H, Cp); 4.2 (m, 2H, C_7H_8); 3.9 (m, 2H, C_7H_8); 2.4–2.0 (3 m, 4H, C_7H_8 , CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR in C₆D₆, 298 K: δ 88.0 (d, J(RhC) = 3.5 Hz, CpRh); 79.7 (CpCo); 59.7 (C-3, C-4); 55.4 (d, J(RhC) = 3.5 Hz, C-2, C-5); 39.1 (d, J(RhC) = 5.0 Hz, CH₂); 1.2 (d, J(RhC) = 11.0 Hz, C-1, C-6); in THF-d8, 200 K: δ 88.2 (d, J(RhC) = 4 Hz, CpRh); 80.1 (CpCo); 60.1 (br, C-3, C-4); 55.5 (d, br, J(RhC) = 4 Hz, C-2, C-5); 39.3 (d, J(RhC) = 5 Hz, CH₂); 1.5 (br, C-1, C-6). MS: m/z 384 (100% rel. int., M^+), 318 (97, $[M - CpH]^+$), 259 (28, $[CpRh(C_7H_7)]^+$), 240 (37), 233 (58, $[Cp_2Rh]^+$), 189 (18, $[Cp_2Co]^+$), 181 (25), 168 (27, $[CpRh]^+$), 124 (6, $[CpCo]^+$), 103 (7, Rh⁺), 91 (8, $[C_7H_7]^+$), 59 (5, Co⁺).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under the auspices of the Sonderforschungsbereich 247 of the Universität Heidelberg and by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie. H.W. thanks the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for a Heisenberg Fellowship.

References

- 1 G. Deganello, Transition Metal Complexes of Cyclic Polyolefins, Academic Press, London, 1979, Chap. 1.
- 2 G. F. Emerson, J. E. Mahler, R. Pettit and R. Collins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86 (1964) 3590.
- 3 F. A. Cotton, B. G. DeBoer and T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93 (1971) 5069.
- 4 F. A. Cotton, D. L. Hunter and P. Lahuerta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14 (1975) 511.
- 5 R. Bau, J. C. Burt, S. A. R. Knox, R. M. Laine, R. P. Phillips and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1973) 726; J. C. Burt, S. A. R. Knox and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1975) 731.
- 6 J. Evans, B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis and R. Watt, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1974) 2368.
- 7 H. Wadepohl, W. Galm, and H. Pritzkow, Angew. Chem., 102 (1990) 701; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 29 (1990) 686.
- 8 K. Jonas, E. Deffense and D. Habermann, Angew. Chem., 95 (1983) 729; Angew. Chem. Suppl., (1983) 1005.
- 9 S. A. Frith and J. L. Spencer, Inorg. Synth., 23 (1985) 15.
- 10 R. B. King, Inorg. Chem., 2 (1963) 528.